Form 0-135-150 5/2012

General Fund Budget - Lines 1 through 21

USD# 261

\$30,618,029

USD Form 150 2012-2013 ESTIMATED LEGAL MAXIMUM GENERAL FUND BUDGET

1.	Estimated 9-20-20	stimated 9-20-2012 FTE enrollment (from Table I or Table IV) (Exclude 4 yr old at-risk FTE.)		=	4,910.0	
2.	Estimated 9-20-20	012 4yr old at risk FTE en 75.0 +	rollment (e) (Must be approved.)(a 0.0	At-risk students count as .5 FTE)(Table IV, Line 4)	=	75.0
3.	Total Estimated 9	-20-2012 FTE Enrollment	(Line 1 + Line 2)		=	4,985.0
4.	Estimated low enr (from line 3)	ollment and high enrollme 4,985.0_x	ent for districts. 9-20-2012 FTE e 0.035040	nrollmentfactor (from Table II or pages 5, 6)	=	174.7
5.	Estimated weighte FTE (a)	ed bilingual education enro	ollment. 9-20-2012 bilingual 0.0000	(Table IV, Line 5) x 0.395	=	23.0
6.	Estimated weighte FTE(b)	ed vocational education en	nrollment. 9-20-2012 vocational e 0.0000	education (Table IV, Line 6) x 0.5	=	62.5
7.	Estimated weighter as of 9-20-2012	ed at-risk student enrollme 2,269 +	ent(c). Number of eligible student 0	s that qualify for free lunches (Table IV, Line 7) x 0.456	=	1,034.7
8.	Estimated High At District's calculate	-Risk Weighting. ated free lunch percentag	e for current year: (Comes from Table VI. Line 1)	45.52%		

	(Comes from Table VI, Line 1)	45.52%			
District's calculated students per squar Lin	e mile: le 3 / square miles in district = 4985 / 36 =	· 138.5			
a. Number of students eligible for free lunb. Number of students eligible for free lunc. Number of students eligible for free lun	chès at 35.1% and 212.1 students per sq	`	269+0) x 269+0) x 3500) x 0.7	0.105 = 0.105 = =	0.0 0.0 167.1
9. Est. Non-Proficient student weighting. Nu	umber of non-proficient students. (g) (x	0.0465)	=	11.2
Estimated weighted FTE for new facilitie new facility (d) 0.0 +	s. 9-20-2012 enrollment of students atter 0.0	nding a _(Table IV, Line 9) x 0.2	5	=	0.0
11. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation	on. (Table III, Line 5)			=	307.0
12. Estimated weighted FTE virtual enrollme	ent. (Table V, Line 4)			=	0.0
13. Estimated ancillary facilities weighting. A	amt approved by Court of Tax Appeals		\$3,838	=	0.0
14. Estimated Special Education weighting.	Amount of Sp. Ed. Funding (f)	4,653,100 ÷	\$3,838	=	1,212.4
15. Estimated Declining Enrollment weightin	g. Amt apprvd by Court of Tax Appeals	0 ÷	\$3,838	=	0.0

16. Estimated FHSU Math & Science Academy FTE enrollment = 0.0 17. Estimated 2012-2013 operating budget. (Lines 3 through 16) 7,977.6 x \$3,838 = \$30,618,029 18. Estimated Cost of Living weighting \$0 ÷ \$3,838 = 0.0 (maximum allowed for this district) (Amt district will use, up to the maximum) 19. Estimated 2012-2013 operating budget. (Include Cost of Living and FHSU) 7,977.6 x \$3,838 = \$30,618,029

Local Option Budget -- See Form 155

20. Amount to transfer to General Fund (Form 149, Line 3).

21. Total General Fund Budget Authority (Form 150 Line 19 + Line 20)

	riding by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individual stude 58.3333 (Record on Line 5	nt). Total	
• • •	urs of vocational education students who are enrolled and and dividing by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individual 125.0000 (Record on Line 6	al student). Total	
 (c) USD must have an approved at-risk pupil ass (d) In order to access new facilities weighting, a line (e) Four year old at risk students are counted as of Education. (f) Comes from form 118 (line 20). (g) 2011-2012 Non Proficient students (excluding (NOTE: If September 20 falls on a weekend, the 	USD must have adopted at least a 25% LOB5 FTE. USD must be approved by the Kansas State Defree students).	epartment	
	TABLE I Declining Enrollment Calculation	USD# 261	1
1. September 20, 2011, FTE and February 20, 2	2012 FTE enrollment (Excludes 4 yr old at risk students.)	=	4,886.6
2. September 20, 2012, FTE enrollment (Exclude	es 4 yr old at risk students.)	=	4,910.0
3. 3 YR AVG FTE: (4,873.6 + (9/20/2010 FTE)*	4,886.6 + (line 1)		
4,910.0)/3=	,	= <u></u>	4,890.1
FTE enrollment for budget purposes (higher of	f line 1, 2, or 3)(Goes to page 1, line 1 if no military provis	sion; see Table IV.) =	4,910.0
Enrollment of District	TABLE II Low and High Enrollment Weighting Factor		
0 - 99.9 100 - 299.9 300 - 1,621.9 1622 and over	1.014331 {[7337 - 9.655 (E - 100)]÷3642.4} -1 {[5406 - 1.237500 (E - 300)]÷3642.4} -1 0.03504		
'E' is 9-20-2012 Adjusted FTE Enrollment (from P	Page 1, line 3)		
EXAMPLE: (FTE of 954.0) {[5406 - 1.237500 (954.0 - 300)]÷3642.4}-1 {[5406 - 1.237500 (654.0)]÷3642.4}-1 {[5406 - 809.325]÷3642.4}-1 {4597.675÷3642.4} -1 1.261991-1 0.261991		OW ENROLLMENT DLLMENT FACTOR	
	TABLE III Transportation Weighting		
1. Area of district in square miles 9-20-2012.		=	36.0
All public pupils transported or for whom transported in the district 2.5 miles or more (Es		`	2,100.0
3. Index of density = Line 2	2,100.0 divided by Line 1	(Line 8) =	58.33
4. Using index of density (Line 3), determine tran	sportation weighting factor.	=	0.1462
5. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. 9-2.5 miles (line 2)2,100.0 _ x	-20-2012 number of resident students over 0.1462 factor (Line 4) (to	Line 11, Page 1) =	307.0

House Bill 2059 - Military Provision

1.	1. Estimated Adjusted 9-20-2012 FTE (Table 1, Line 4, Form 150)				
2.	Estimated 2-20-2013 FTE (excludes 4 yr old at risk students) of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2012 (Must be at least 25 FTE or 1% of Line 1. If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.)	=	0.0		
3.	Estimated FTE Enrollment count for 2012-2013 (Line 1 + Line 2) to Line 1, Form 150	=	4,910.0		
N	umber of students in Line 2 with the following weighting factors:				
4.	4. Estimated 2-20-2013 4yr old FTE (add to Line 2, Form 150)				
5.	5. Estimated weighted bilingual education enrollment. 2-20-2013 bilingual FTE (a) 0.0000 x 0.395 (add to Line 5, Form 150)				
6.	Estimated weighted vocational education enrollment. 2-20-2013 vocational education FTE (b) volume 0.5 (add to Line 6, Form 150)	=	0.0		
7. Estimated weighted at-risk student enrollment (c). Number of students eligible that qualify for free lunches as of 2-20-2013					
8. Estimated 2-20-2013 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2012 transported or for whom transportation is being made available 2-20-2013 who reside in the district 2.5 miles or more (goes to Table III, Line 2, Form 150)					
9.	Estimated weighted 2-20-2013 FTE for New Facilities (d) (add to Line 10, Form 150) FTE 0.0 x 0.25	=	0.0		
(a) FTE is computed by taking the total clock hours of bilingual students who are enrolled and attending in an approved bilingual class on 2-20-2013 and dividing by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individual student). Total clock hours 0.00 ÷ 6 = 0.0000 (Record on Line 5)				
(b) FTE is computed by taking the total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending in an approved vocational class on 2-20-2013 and dividing by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individual student). Total clock hours 0.0 ÷ 6 = 0.0000 (Record on Line 6)				
(c) USD must have an approved at-risk pupil assistance plan for the school district.				
(d) In order to access new facilities weighting, a USD must have adopted at least a 25% LOB.				
	TABLE V Virtual Enrollment Weighting (K.S.A. 72-3715, 72-3716)				
1.	Estimated 9/20/2012 FTE Virtual Enrollment X 1.05	=	0.0		
2.	Estimated Non-Proficient* Virtual Students (headcount) 0_ X 0.25	=	0.0		
3.	Estimated Virtual Students Taking AP** Courses 1st Semester 2nd Semester 0 X .08 = 0.0		0.0		
4.	Estimated Weighted FTE Virtual Enrollment	-	0.0		

8/15/2012 10:35 AM Form 150 Page 3

^{*} This provision applies to pupils that would qualify for paid or reduced priced lunches, and did not meet proficient in Math or Reading State Assessments in the prior year. The virtual school must have a virtual at-risk pupil assistance plan on file with KSDE.

^{**} The Advanced Placement (AP) course is not available in the home district of the virtual pupil. The home district is either more than 200 square miles or has an enrollment of at least 260 pupils.

[&]quot;Virtual School" means any school or educational program that: (1) Is offered for credit; (2) uses distance-learning technologies which predominately use internet-based methods to deliver instruction; (3) involves instruction that occurs asynchronously with the teacher and pupil in separate locations; (4) requires the pupil to make academic progress toward the next grade level and matriculation from kindergarten through high school graduation; (5) requires the pupil to demonstrate competence in subject matter for each class or subject in which the pupil is enrolled as part of the virtual school; and (6) requires age-appropriate pupils to complete state assessment tests.

TABLE VI High At-Risk Weighting Calculation

1.	Calculated free lunch percentage for the current year (goes to page 1, line 8) (Page 1, Line 7 total students eligible for Free Lunches) / (Page 1, Line 3) = 2269 + 0 / 4985 =	45.52%	=_	45.52%
2.	District's calculated free lunch percentage for the prior year (info only)		=	43.90%

ADDITIONAL DEFINITION FOR SCHOOL FACILITIES (Must use a minimum LOB listed below to qualify for this provision.)

a) School Facilities Definition - School facilities weighting is available for school districts whose adopted local option budget (LOB) is at least 25% for 2012-13 and have constructed an entirely new facility or an addition to an existing facility.

The determination of weighting will be based upon the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students that are enrolled and attending in the new facility September 20 (and February 20 for districts qualifying under K.S.A. 72-6448). In the case of school districts that have constructed an addition to existing facilities, the number of students that are enrolled and attending in the new addition will be counted on a full-time equivalent basis (see example 2.) The additional weighting for this provision of the law is applicable for two years only. For a new facility, the FTE is for the entire building (see example 1). For additions to an existing facility, the following calculating would be utilized.

Example #1: (For new buildings.)

For a totally new constructed building, the FTE equals the total enrollment FTE for that building.

	<u>Headcount</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Kindergarten	77	38.5
Grade 1	87	87.0
Grade 2	81	81.0
Grade 3	75	75.0
Weighting for exam	ple:	281.5 X 0.25 = 70.4 X \$3838 = \$270195

Example #2: (For new additions)

	Total number of students in each new classroom Number of class periods (divide by) Full-time equivalent enrollment =	
Example:	New classroom A = New classroom B = New classroom C = New classroom D = TOTAL =	105 students for the day 154 students for the day 133 students for the day 121 students for the day 513
	divide by _ = _	7 class periods 73.3 FTE

Weighting for above example: $73.3 \times 0.25 = 18.3 \times $3838 = 70235

Qualifying for New Facilities Weighting

In order to qualify for new facilities weighting, a district must have adopted at least a 25% local option budget.